

The effect of fuel conversion from HEU to LEU on main neutronics of YALINA-Booster sub-critical assembly

<u>Kiyavitskaya H.</u>, Yu. Fokov, V. Bournos, S. Mazanik, Ch. Routkovskaya, S. Sadovich JIPNR-Sosny, NAS of Belarus Y. Gohar Argonne National Laboratory, USA I. Bolshinski Idaho National Laboratory, USA

> International Conference on Research Reactors: Safe Management and Effective Utilization Rabat, Morocco 14-18 November 2011

Content

- Introduction
- YALINA zero power model of ADS
- Main configurations of the YALINA-Booster core (from HEU to LEU)
- Neutronics of YALINA-Booster for main configurations (from HEU to LEU)
- Conclusion

Subcritical facility YALINA



1- ion accelerator, 2 – target unit,3 – subcritical assembly, 4 – measuring complex

Neutron generator

10

Ion accelerator

DD- or DT-targets: 230 or 45 mm



KH

Main parameters of the neutron generator NG-12-1

Accelerator H+ and D+ **Beam energy**, keV 100 - 250 | - |0 **Beam current**, mA - 2 (2-60)×I0**(-6) **Pulse duration, s** (1 - 8 000) **Pulse repetition frequency, Hz** Spot size, cm 2.0 - 3.0Ti³H target 45 mm 230 mm Rotation speed, rpm 560 560 Maximal yield of neutrons, n/s $(1.0 - 1.5) \times 10^{12}$ 1.0x1011 Neutron energy, MeV 3-15 230 mm 45 mm TiD target Maximal yield $(2-3)\times 10^{10}$ (2-3)×10⁹ of neutrons, n/s 2.0 - 3.1Neutron energy, MeV

YALINA facility: YALINA-Th and YALINA-Booster

6x10⁸

5x10⁶

4x10⁸

3x10⁸ 2x10⁸ 1x10⁸

10⁻³







05/12/2011



R (cm)

5 cm



The program of experimental research

- the measurements of sub-criticality levels,
- the measurements of spatial distribution of neutron flux density,
- the measurements of time dependence of neutron flux density by different ion pulse durations,
- the measurements of threshold reaction rates,
- the measurements of transmutation reaction rates,
- neutron spectrum unfolding and so on.

YALINA-Booster assembly



1 - Ionguide, 2 - inner fast zone, 3 - outer fast zone, 4 - absorber,
5 - thermal zone, 6 - SS frame, 7 - graphite reflector, 8 - upper plate,
9 - organic glass sheet, 10 - cadmium layer, 11 - bottom plate

Main configurations of the YALINA-Booster core

- <u>Ist configuration</u> HEU fuel YALINA-Booster with 132 fuel rods with Umet. of 90% enrichment by 235U in the inner part of fast zone; 563 fuel rods with UO2 of 36% enrichment by 235U in the outer part of fast zone, 1141 fuel rods (EK-10) with UO2 of 10% enrichment by 235U in thermal zone, (keff \leq 0.979);
- <u>2nd configuration</u> YALINA-Booster (1st step of conversion to LEU fuel) -with 695 fuel rods with UO2 of 36% enrichment by 235U in fast zone and 1185 fuel rods (EK-10) with UO2 of 10% enrichment by 235U in thermal zone (keff ≤0.975);
- <u>3rd configuration</u> LEU fuel YALINA-Booster (2nd step of conversion to LEU fuel) with 601 fuel rods with UO2 of 21% enrichment by 235U in fast zone and 1185 fuel rods (EK-10) with UO2 of 10% enrichment by 235U in thermal zone (keff ≤ 0.960).
- <u>4th configuration -</u> configuration with cylindrical fast zone
- The complete description of the configurations is available at the IAEA website (<u>http://www-nfcis.iaea.org/NFCIS</u>).

Effective multiplication factor measured by reciprocal counting method during fast zone loading (1st configuration)



Comparison of $k_{\rm eff}$ measured by PNS method and reciprocal counting method during fuel loading into thermal zone



Axial distribution of neutron flux density in experimental channels EC2B (a) and EC5T (b) measured by fission chamber KHT-5 (DT neutron source)





05/12/2011

Axial distribution of 238U(n,f) reaction rate measured by fission chamber KHT-8 in the experimental channel EC2B



Time behavior of neutron pulse in the experimental channels by ion pulse duration 10 μs



Comparison of experimental and calculated data



YALINA-Booster – 1st configuration

Variation of ³He detectors' counting rates during load of the 36% enriched UO_2 into the inner part of the fast zone



05/12/2011

Dependence of k_{eff} upon the number of EK-10 fuel rods loaded into thermal zone of the 1st and 2nd configurations of YALINA-Booster core (calculation)



Axial distribution of neutron flux density in the experimental channel EC6T by ²⁵²Cf neutron source (1st and 2nd core configurations, calculation)



Distance along Z axis from the core center [cm]

Comparison of radial distribution of neutron flux density in the 1st and 2nd core configurations (calculation)



Comparioson YALINA-Booster for the 1st and 2nd configurations



Loading process of fuel rods with $21\% UO_2$ into fast zone

Reciprocal counting rate curves obtained during loading of fast zone by fuel rods with UO_2 of 36 and 21% enrichment by the loaded thermal zone (1185 rods EK10)



21% UO₂

36% UO₂

Effective multiplication factor estimated by PNS method by different positions of the detector and data processing techniques for 3^{rd} configuration (by D,D neutron source at Z=0)



Effective multiplication factor estimated by different techniques of data processing versus number of fuel rods loaded into fast zone



Distribution of small size 3He detector counting rate along the experimental channels of fast (a) and thermal zone (b) by ^{252}Cf neutron source at Z=0



Axial distribution of small size ³He detector counting rate in the experimental channel ECB1 of fast zone by ²⁵²Cf neutron source





Conclusion

- The YALINA-Booster assembly was set up to study neutronics of sub-critical systems driven by external neutron sources and to prove the feasibility of ADS.
- The successful operation of this facility is a scientific contribution to the ADS and ADTT investigations from the Republic of Belarus, as well as from the EC and USA international teams.
- The experimental data are used to benchmark and validate methods and computer codes for designing and licensing ADS.

Conclusion (cont'd)

- The area ratio method (Sjöstrand method) allows measure the reactivity with high accuracy in terms of statistical uncertainty.
- However the method is sensitive to spatial effects caused by core heterogeneity and the detector's vicinity to the external neutron source.

Conclusion (cont'd)

- After replacement of 90% enriched metallic uranium by 36% UO₂ in the inner region of fast zone, we had to add some additional amount of 10% UO₂ into thermal zone to raise k_{eff}.
- In this case the main YALINA-Booster neutronics suffered not so remarkably, except for neutron spectrum softening in the inner region of the fast zone.

Conclusion (cont'd)

- The 2nd step of conversion to LEU fuel (21% UO₂ in fast zone) resulted in significant reduction of the effective multiplication factor.
- The value of k_{eff} estimated by MCNP is about 0.961, the experimental one 0.959, whereas in HEU fuel core configuration it amounted 0.979 and 0.975 respectively.
- There is a chance to maintain the assembly's performance through essential reconstruction of fast and interface zones involving the safety and licensing problems.

Thank you!

